



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union



WP5: Quality Assurance and Evaluation

QUALITY QUALITY



António M. Teixeira
Maria do Carmo Pinto
João Paz

Universidade Aberta (UAb)
PM2 – 28th & 29th September, 2021

Evaluation of All Partners Meetings

Kick-off Meeting (online)

All partners


1st & 2nd February, 2021

Survey conducted from 17th February to 10th March , 2021


24 respondents (65% of total meeting attendants)

1. Have you contributed to the meeting preparations according to the plan?


24 responses



2. Rate the all-partner meeting in relation to the following items (1-5, being 5 the highest score):




3. Rate each Work Package discussion in relation to the clearness of presentations and quality of decision-making (1-5, being 5 the highest score):




4. Was your communication with the coordinator effective?

24 responses




5. Have the results met the expectations and decisions taken in the meeting been sufficiently clear to all partners?

24 responses




6. Have the decisions taken in the meeting been adequately implemented?

24 responses



7. Was your feedback taken into account for the process improvement?

24 responses



- A partner representative has suggested that the presentations should be shared in advance with the participants, allowing to build a more clear understanding of the topics.
- Some partners do not have still a clear global vision of the activities and realize what's their role and what's expected of them. Some representatives have recommended more partner meetings, possibly shorter and more focused.
- Overall, the project started with much enthusiasm and on a positive spirit. There was a good and transparent dialogue amongst the members of the consortium.
- The survey results indicate a high level of satisfaction of the project partners with the quality of the KoM's organization, discussion and reporting. Further improvement was suggested in what relates to efficiency of decision-making.
- A more fluid communication might contribute to strengthen the team's shared perception and understanding of the project's activities and goals.

Evaluation of All Partners Meetings

1st Progress Meeting [WP 2, 3 & 4](online)

All partners


12th May, 2021


Survey conducted from 2nd to 18th June , 2021

15 respondents


1. Have you contributed to the meeting preparations according to the plan?

15 responses






3. Rate each Work Package discussion in relation to the clearness of presentations and quality of decision-making (1-5, being 5 the highest score):




4. Was your communication with the coordinator effective?

15 responses




5. Have the results met the expectations and decisions taken in the meeting been sufficiently clear to all partners?

15 responses




6. Have the decisions taken in the meeting been adequately implemented?

15 responses



7. Was your feedback taken into account for the process improvement?

15 responses



- **Most of the partner representatives expressed their appreciation for the meetings held and suggested for additional ones to be organized specifically dedicated to discussing the technological elements of the project (i.e., remote labs, eLearning software and using data-mining for quality assessment).**
- **Assuring all universities receive financial support is critical for the implementation phase.**
- **A suggestion was made for the organization of physical mobility actions and study visits allowing for Iranian universities to become more familiar with how European universities enhance teaching and learning innovation.**
- **The survey results show the partners were satisfied with the quality of the PM's organization, discussion and reporting in general. However, it was also clear they've rated the different items not as high as previously when assessing the KoM. This should be taken into consideration by the WPs leaders, most especially in the case of WP2.**
- **Several partners feel the need for a deeper and shared understanding of the project planning. It's clear task allocation and partners responsibility within each WP have not been communicated efficiently.**

UNI-TEL

Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union



Università degli Studi Guglielmo Marconi

UNIVERSIDADE
AbERTA
www.uab.pt



Thank You very much!
Muito obrigado!